

ON A VARIANT OF TARTAR'S FIRST COMMUTATION LEMMA

D. MITROVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We prove a variant of Tartar's first commutation lemma involving multiplier operators with symbols not necessarily defined on a manifold of codimension one.

1. INTRODUCTION

At the beginning of 90's, L. Tartar [14] and P. Gerard [3] independently introduced the H -measures (microlocal defect measures). The H -measures appeared to be very powerful tool in many fields of mathematics and physics (see randomly chosen [1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16]). They are given by the following theorem:

tbasic1

Theorem 1. [14] *If $(u_n) = ((u_n^1, \dots, u_n^r))$, is a sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^r)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^r)$, then there exists its subsequence $(u_{n'})$ and a positive definite matrix of complex Radon measures $\mu = \{\mu^{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$ such that for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\psi \in C(S^{d-1})$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_1 u_{n'}^i)(x) \overline{\mathcal{A}_\psi(\varphi_2 u_{n'}^j)(x)} dx &= \langle \mu^{ij}, \varphi_1 \overline{\varphi_2} \psi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}} \varphi_1(x) \overline{\varphi_2(x)} \psi(\xi) d\mu^{ij}(x, \xi), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

basic1

where $\mathcal{A}_\psi$ is a multiplier operator with the symbol $\psi \in C^\kappa(S^{d-1})$.

The complex matrix Radon measure $\{\mu^{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,r}$ defined in the previous theorem we call the H -measure corresponding to the subsequence $(u_{n'}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^r)$.

The H -measures describe a loss of strong L^2 precompactness for the corresponding sequence $(u_n) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^r)$. In order to describe loss of L_{loc}^1 precompactness for a sequence $(u_n) \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > 1$, we have extended the notion of the H -measures in [8] as follows.

tbasic2

Theorem 2. [8] *Assume that (u_n) , is a sequence in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > 1$, such that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\beta > 0$. Assume that (v_n) is a bounded sequence in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Then, there exist subsequences $(u_{n'})$ and $(v_{n'})$ of the sequences (u_n) and (v_n) , respectively, such that there exists a complex valued distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d'} \times S^{d-1})$, such that for every $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\psi \in C^\kappa(S^{d-1})$, $\kappa > d/2$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_1 u_{n'})(x) \mathcal{A}_\psi(\varphi_2 v_{n'})(x) dx = \langle \mu, \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \psi \rangle, \quad (2)$$

basic2

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B15.

Key words and phrases. commutation lemma; multipliers.

where $\mathcal{A}_\psi : L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a multiplier operator with the symbol $\psi \in C^\kappa(S^{d-1})$.

The first commutation lemma was one of the key point in the proof of Theorem 1 as well as Theorem 2 (with a simple modification; see [8, Lemma 14]). It is stated as follows:

scl

Lemma 3. [8, Lemma 14] *(First commutation lemma) Let $a \in C(S^{d-1})$ and $b \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a multiplier operator with the symbol a , and B be an operator of multiplication given by the formulae:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u)(\xi) &= a\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ Bu(x) &= b(x)u(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform. Then $C = \mathcal{A}B - B\mathcal{A}$ is a compact operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > 1$.

The proof of the lemma heavily relies on the fact that the function a is actually defined on the unit sphere. Recently, two new variants of the H -measures were introduced – the parabolic H -measures [2] and ultra-parabolic H -measures [12]. In both cases a variant of the first commutation lemma is needed, and in both cases its proof is based on the fact that a symbol a of appropriate multiplier $\mathcal{A}$ is defined on a smooth, bounded, simply connected manifold of codimension one.

In order to motivate our variant of the first commutation lemma, notice that from the proof of Theorem 1 (see [14]), it follows that we need to "commute" $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_2 u_n)$ by $\varphi_2 \mathcal{A}(u_n)$, where (u_n) is the sequence bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, which was exactly done in Lemma 3. Similarly, in Theorem 2 (see [8]), we need to "commute" $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_2 v_n)$ by $\varphi_2 \mathcal{A}(v_n \chi_{\text{supp } \varphi_2})$, where χ_V is the characteristic function of the set V , and (v_n) is the sequence bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that the commutator C is compact operator from $L_0^\infty(\Omega)$ into $L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$, $p > 1$. We state:

scl'

Lemma 4. *Let $a \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\kappa = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1$, and $b \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose that for some constant $k > 0$ and for any real number $r > 0$*

$$\int_{\frac{r}{2} \leq \|\xi\| \leq r} |D_\xi^\alpha a(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq k^2 r^{d-2n(\alpha)} \quad (3) \quad \text{cond_1'}$$

holds for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ satisfying $n(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \leq \kappa$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a multiplier operator with the symbol a , and B be an operator of multiplication given by the formulae:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}u)(\xi) = a(\xi)\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (4) \quad \text{oper_1}$$

$$Bu(x) = b(x)u(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (5) \quad \text{oper_2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform. Then $C = \mathcal{A}B - B\mathcal{A}$ is a compact operator from $L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L_{loc}^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $1 < p_0 < \infty$.

Remark 5. We hope that the lemma could serve for defining variants of the H -measures adapted to equations which change type (such as non-strictly parabolic equations).

Proof: On the first step notice that a satisfies conditions of the Hörmander-Mikhlin theorem (see [11, 4]). Therefore, for every $p > 1$ there exists a constant k_p such that $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq k_p$. Thus,

$$\|C\| \leq 2k_p \|b\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Then, notice that we can assume $b \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Indeed, if we assume merely $b \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then we can uniformly approach the function b by a sequence $(b_n) \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The corresponding sequence of commutators $C_n = \mathcal{A}B_n - B_n\mathcal{A}$, where $B_n(u) = b_n u$, converges in norm toward C . So, if we prove that C_n are compact for each n , the same will hold for C as well.

Then, fix a real non-negative function ω with a compact support and total mass one. Take the characteristic function $\chi_{B(0,2)}$ of the ball $B(0,2) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and denote:

$$\chi(x) = \chi_{B(0,2)} \star \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \Pi_{i=1}^d \omega\left(\frac{x_i}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

for an $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that we have $\chi(x) = 1$ for $x \in B(0,1)$, and $(1-\chi) \equiv 1$ out of the ball $B(0,3)$.

Next, notice that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{a\chi} + \mathcal{A}_{a(1-\chi)}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{a\chi}$ is a multiplier operator with the symbol $a\chi$, and $\mathcal{A}_{a(1-\chi)}$ is a multiplier operator with the symbol $a(1-\chi)$. Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \mathcal{A}B - B\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{a\chi}B - B\mathcal{A}_{a\chi} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{A}_{a(1-\chi)}B - B\mathcal{A}_{a(1-\chi)} = C_{a\chi} + C_{a(1-\chi)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{a\chi} = \mathcal{A}_{a\chi}B - B\mathcal{A}_{a\chi}$ and $C_{a(1-\chi)} = \mathcal{A}_{a(1-\chi)}B - B\mathcal{A}_{a(1-\chi)}$.

First, consider the commutator $C_{a\chi}$. Notice that since $a\chi_{B(0,2)}$ has a compact support, the multiplier $\mathcal{A}_{a\chi}$ is actually the convolution operator with the kernel $\psi = \mathcal{F}(a\chi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the inverse of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{a\chi}(u) = \psi \star u, \quad u \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (6) \quad \boxed{\text{oper}_1'}$$

Therefore, we can state that

$$C_{a\chi}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (b(x) - b(y)) \psi(x-y)u(y)dy, \quad (7) \quad \boxed{\text{comm}_1}$$

is a compact operator from $L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $L_{loc}^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p_0 \geq 2$.

Indeed, take an arbitrary bounded sequence $(u_n) \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weak- $\star$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\text{supp}u_n \subset \hat{V} \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for a relatively compact set $\hat{V}$. In order to prove that $C_{a\chi}$ is compact, it is enough to prove that $C_{a\chi}u_n$ strongly converges to zero in $L_{loc}^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p_0 > 1$.

Since $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we also have $\psi \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, it holds for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$C_{a\chi}u_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (b(x) - b(y)) \psi(x-y)u_n(y)dy \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8) \quad \boxed{\text{comm}_1\text{-c1}}$$

Next, since the sequence (u_n) has compact support, we also have:

$$|C_{a\chi}u_n(x)| \leq \hat{C}, \quad (9) \quad \boxed{\text{comm}_1\text{-c2}}$$

for a constant $\hat{C}$ depending on the support of the sequence (u_n) as well as L^2 norm of the kernel ψ .

Combining (8) and (9) with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we see that for an arbitrary relatively compact $V \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and every $p_0 > 0$, it holds:

$$\int_V |C_{a\chi} u_n(x)|^{p_0} dx \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (10) \quad \text{comm_1-c3}$$

proving (7).

In order to prove that $C_{a(1-\chi)}$ is compact, we need more subtle arguments basically involving techniques from the proof of the Hormander-Mikhlin theorem from e.g. [11].

So, let Θ be a non-negative infinitely differentiable function supported by $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq \|\xi\| \leq 2\}$. Also let $\theta(\xi) = \Theta(\xi) / \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta(2^{-j}\xi)$, $\theta(0) := 0$. Then, θ is non-negative, it is supported by $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq \|\xi\| \leq 2\}$, it is infinitely differentiable, and is such that if $\xi \neq 0$, then $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(2^{-j}\xi) = 1$.

Now, let $a_j(\xi) = a(\xi)(1 - \chi(\xi))\theta(2^{-j}\xi)$, $j > 0$. Then, a_j has support in the set $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{j-1} \leq \|\xi\| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$, $j > 0$, and $a(\xi)(1 - \chi(\xi)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\xi)$. Furthermore, it holds for any $p \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $\alpha_p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_p}}{\partial^{\alpha_p} \xi_p} (a(\xi)(1 - \chi(\xi))\theta(2^{-j}\xi)) = \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha_p} \binom{\alpha_p}{l} \frac{\partial^l a(\xi)}{\partial^l \xi_p} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_p-l} (1 - \chi(\xi))\theta(2^{-j}\xi)}{\partial^{\alpha_p-l} \xi},$$

so that, with suitable bounded functions $a_{\beta\gamma}$, $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, we have $D_\xi^\alpha \phi_j(\xi) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} a_{\beta\gamma} 2^{-jn(\beta)} D_\xi^\gamma a(\xi)$.

From here, on applying hypothesis (3) with $r = 2^j$, it follows from the Minkowski inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |D_\xi^\alpha a_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi &\leq p_0^2 \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} a_{\beta\gamma} 2^{-jn(\beta)} \int_{2^{j-1} \leq \|\xi\| \leq 2^{j+1}} |D_\xi^\gamma a(\xi)|^2 d\xi \quad (11) \quad \text{corr_ns1} \\ &\leq C k^2 2^{j(d-2n(\alpha))}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant independent on k .

Denote by $\bar{a}_j = \bar{\mathcal{F}}(a_j)(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the inverse Fourier transform of the function a_j . From (11), the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, Plancharel's theorem and the well known properties of the Fourier transform, for every $s > 0$ it holds (see also the proof of [11, Theorem 7.5.13]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\|x\| > s} |\bar{a}_j(x)| dx &\leq \left(\int_{\|x\| \geq s} \|x\|^{-2\kappa} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\|x\| \geq s} \|x\|^{2\kappa} |\bar{a}_j(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (12) \quad \text{aux_5} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2\pi^{d-1} s^{d-2\kappa}}{2\kappa - d} \right)^{1/2} \left(d^\kappa \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x_i|^{2\kappa} |\bar{a}_j(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{2\pi^{d-1} s^{d-2\kappa}}{2\kappa - d} \right)^{1/2} \left(d^\kappa \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |D_{\xi_i}^\kappa a_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_1 k (2^j s)^{(\frac{1}{2}d - \kappa)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 depends only on the functions θ and χ .

Next, consider $\bar{A}_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{a}_j(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For an arbitrary fixed $s > 0$, the series $\sum_{j=0}^n \bar{a}_j(x)$ is absolutely convergent in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, s))$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{A}_n(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, s))} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \|\bar{a}_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, s))} \\ &\leq C_1 k s^{(\frac{1}{2}d - \kappa)} \sum_{j=0}^n 2^{j(\frac{1}{2}d - \kappa)} \leq C_3 < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (13) \quad \boxed{\text{sep138}}$$

for a constant $C_3 > 0$, since $\frac{1}{2}d - \kappa < 0$.

Thus, for every $s > 0$ there exists $\bar{A}_s \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, s))$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{a}_j(x) = \bar{A}_s(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0, s). \quad (14) \quad \boxed{\text{sep148}}$$

Furthermore, for an odd d , from (12) we have

$$\int_{\|x\| < s} \|x\| \cdot |\bar{A}_n(x)| dx \rightarrow 0, \quad s \rightarrow 0, \quad (15) \quad \boxed{\text{sep128}}$$

while for an even d conclusion (15) follows from:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\|x\| < s} \|x\| \cdot |\bar{A}_n(x)| dx &\leq \left(\int_{\|x\| < s} \|x\|^{1-2\kappa} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\|x\| < s} \|x\|^{2\kappa-2} |\bar{a}_j(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/4} \times \\ &\times \left(\int_{\|x\| < s} \|x\|^{2\kappa} |\bar{a}_j(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/4} \leq C_4 s^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, take the convolution operator:

$$\mathcal{A}_n(u) = \bar{A}_n \star u, \quad u \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

and consider the commutator $C_n = \mathcal{A}_n B - B \mathcal{A}_n$. It holds:

$$C_n(u)(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{A}_n(x-y)(b(x) - b(y))u(y) dy.$$

Given a fixed $s > 0$, rewrite $C_n(u)$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(u)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{A}_n(x-y)(b(x) - b(y))u(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\|x-y\| > s} \bar{A}_n(x-y)(b(x) - b(y))u(y) dy + \int_{\|x-y\| \leq s} \bar{A}_n(x-y)(b(x) - b(y))u(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

From here, combining $b \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with (14) and (15), we conclude for an arbitrary relatively compact $V \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n(u)(x)\|_{L^{p_0}(V)} \leq \left\| \int_{\|x-y\| > s} \bar{A}_s(x-y)(b(x) - b(y))u(y) dy \right\|_{L^{p_0}(V)} + o_s(1), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} o_s(1) &= \int_{\|x-y\| \leq s} \bar{A}_n(x-y)(b(x) - b(y))u(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\|x-y\| \leq s} \|x-y\| \bar{A}_n(x-y) \frac{(b(x) - b(y))}{\|x-y\|} u(y) dy \xrightarrow{(15)} 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, arguing as for (7), we infer that the operator

$$u \mapsto \int_{\|x-y\|>s} \bar{A}_s(x-y)(b(x)-b(y))u(y)dy \quad (17) \quad \boxed{\text{nk1}}$$

is a compact operator from $L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L_{loc}^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for an arbitrary $p_0 > 1$.

Next, notice that we have for any $u \in L^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (C_n - C_{\phi(1-\chi)})(u) \|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \| (\mathcal{A}_n - \mathcal{A}_{a(1-\chi)})(bu) \|_{L^2} + \| b \|_\infty \| (\mathcal{A}_n - \mathcal{A}_{\phi(1-\chi)})(u) \|_{L^2} \\ & = \| (A_n - a(1-\chi))\mathcal{F}(bu) \|_{L^2} + \| b \|_\infty \| (A_n - a(1-\chi))\mathcal{F}(u) \|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and from here and the Fatou lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \| C_{a(1-\chi)}(u) \|_{L^{p_0}(V)} & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left\| \int_{\|x-y\|\geq s} \bar{A}_n(x-y)(b(x)-b(y))u(y)dy \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \int_{\|x-y\|<s} |x-y| \bar{A}_n(x-y) \frac{(b(x)-b(y))}{|x-y|} u(y)dy \right\|_{L^{p_0}(V)} \right) \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{\|x-y\|\geq s} \bar{A}_s(x-y)(b(x)-b(y))u(y)dy \right\|_{L^{p_0}(V)} + o_s(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $o_s(1)$ denotes a quantity tending to zero as $s \rightarrow 0$, and appears here due to (15). From here and (17), it follows that $C_{a(1-\chi)}$ is a compact operator since it can be estimated by a sum of a compact operator, and an operator bounded by an arbitrary small constant.

Thus, we see that C can be represented as the sum of two compact operators $C_{a\chi}$ and $C_{a(1-\chi)}$, which means that C is a compact operator itself.

This concludes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alberti, S. Müller, A new approach to variational problems with multiple scales, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* 54(2001), 761-825
- [2] N. Antonic, M. Lazar *H-measures and variants applied to parabolic equations*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 343 (2008), 207-225
- [3] Gerard, P., *Microlocal Defect Measures*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 16(1991), 1761-1794.
- [4] L. Grafakos, *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis*, Paerson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458 (2004)
- [5] Y. Brenier, *Hydrodynamic structure of the augmented Born-Infeld equations*, *Arch.Ration.Mech.Anal.* 172(2004), 65-91
- [6] R. Lewandowski, *Vorticities in a LES model for 3D periodic turbulent flows*, *J.Math.Fluid Mech* 8(2006), 398-442
- [7] G. Metivier, S. Schochet, *Trilinear resonant interactions of semilinear hyperbolic waves* *Duke Math. J.* 95 (1998), 241-304
- [8] D. Mitrovic, N. Antonic, S. Pilipovic, V. Bojkovic, *H-distributions – an extension of the H-measures*, arXiv:0907.1373v1
- [9] A. Mielke, *Macroscopic behaviour of microscopic oscillations in harmonic lattices via Wigner-Husimi transforms*, *Arch.Ration.Mech.Anal* 181(2006), 401-448
- [10] A. Moulahi, *Stabilisation interne d'ondes electromagnetiques dans un domaine exterieur*, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 88 (2007), 431-453
- [11] G. O. Okikiolu, *Aspects of the Theory of Bounded Integral operators in L^p -Spaces*, Academic Press, London and new York, 1971
- [12] E.Yu. Panov, *Ultra-parabolic equations with rough coefficients. Entropy solutions and strong pre-compactness property*, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 159:2(2009) 180-228.
- [13] P. Pedregal, *Γ convergence through Young measures*, *SIAM J. Math. ANal.* 36 (2004), 423-440

- [14] L. Tartar, *H-measures, a new approach for studying homogenisation, oscillation and concentration effects in PDEs*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. Sect. A 115:3–4 (1990) 193–230
- [15] L. Thevenot, *An optimality condition for the assembly distribution in a nuclear reactor*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 15(2005), 407–435.
- [16] L. Ying, E. Candes, *The phase flow method*, J.Comput. Phys., 220(2006), 184–215

DARKO MITROVIC, UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, CETINJSKI PUT
BB, 81000 PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO

E-mail address: `matematika@t-com.me`